

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/812,351	Applicant(s) DURACK ET AL	
		Examiner Maureen M. Wallenhorst	Art Unit 1797	

All Participants:

(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.

(2) Ryan Christensen (Appl. Rep., Reg.#60,291).

Date of Interview: 22 January 2010

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
45, 56-58, 62, 64, 81

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
It was agreed to make minor changes in claims 45, 56-58, 62 and 81, to cancel claim 64 and to amend the specification to provide a brief description for figures 45-48 in order to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Maureen M. Wallenhorst/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)